

On an assertion about Nash – Moser applications

by

Seppo I. Hiltunen

Abstract. By an example we show that Olaf Müller's assertion about his new theorems being able to give anew some classical results previously obtained via applications of Nash – Moser type theorems is unfounded. We also give another example indicating some limitations in possible applications of related new inverse function theorems.

Below, a *space* will mean a complete metrizable real locally convex space E , hence a Fréchet space. By a *P-norm* for E we mean any function $\varrho : E \ni x \mapsto \varrho(x) = \|x\|$ such that $(x, y) \mapsto \|x - y\|$ is a metric defining the topology of E .

1 Definition. A directionally differentiable (see [3; Section 3]) map $\tilde{f} = (E, F, f)$ of spaces E, F where f is a function $E \supseteq \text{dom } f \rightarrow F$, we call *almost M-tame* at x iff there are P-norms ϱ_1 for E and ϱ_2 for F such that for any z, u with $\varrho_1(z) \leq 1$ and $u \in E$ we have $x + z \in \text{dom } f$ and $\varrho_2(\delta f(x + z, u) - \delta f(x, u)) \leq \varrho_1(u)$.

2 Example. Let E be the Fréchet space of 1-periodic smooth functions $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let the smooth $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be 1-periodic. Letting $\iota : t \mapsto nt$ and $\mathbf{n} : t \mapsto n$ for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, we consider the map $\tilde{f}_{\text{Ex } 2} = (E, E, f)$ where f is defined by $U \ni x \mapsto \varphi \circ (\iota + x) \cdot (\mathbf{n} + x')$ with U being the set of x in E having $0 < \inf \{ |n + x'(s)| : s \in \mathbb{R} \}$.

3 Proposition. *If $\tilde{f}_{\text{Ex } 2}$ is almost M-tame at any x , then $\text{rng } \varphi$ is a singleton.*

Proof. To proceed by reductio ad absurdum, we assume that $\tilde{f}_{\text{Ex } 2}$ is almost M-tame at some x and that φ is not constant, and we derive a contradiction. Now, there is some t_0 such that $\varphi'(t_0) \neq 0$, and we can also choose s_0 so that $ns_0 + x(s_0) = t_0$. There also are P-norms $u \mapsto \|u\|_1$ and $v \mapsto \|v\|_2$ for E such that for $\|z\|_1 \leq 1$ and for $u \in E$ we have $\|\delta f(x + z, u) - \delta f(x, u)\|_2 \leq \|u\|_1$.

The topology of E also being defined by the sequence of norms $\langle p_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_o \rangle$ where $p_i(u) = \sup \{ |u^{(i)}(s)| : s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } l \leq i \}$, there is an odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1} \Rightarrow \|z\|_1 \leq 1$. Then there is $\delta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|v\|_2 \leq \delta_1 \Rightarrow p_{k-1}(v) \leq 1$, and there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_l(u) \leq l^{-1} \Rightarrow \|u\|_1 \leq \delta_1$.

Taking $\varepsilon_0 = l^{-1}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ to be fixed below, we let $u : s \mapsto \varepsilon_0$ and $z : s \mapsto (2\pi m)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}} \sin(m 2\pi (s - s_0))$. Then putting $v = \delta f(x + z, u) - \delta f(x, u)$, we have $\|v\|_2 \leq \|u\|_1$ if $p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \varphi' \circ (\iota + x + z) \cdot u \cdot (\mathbf{n} + x' + z') + \varphi \circ (\iota + x + z) \cdot u' \\ &\quad - \varphi' \circ (\iota + x) \cdot u \cdot (\mathbf{n} + x') - \varphi \circ (\iota + x) \cdot u' \\ &= \varepsilon_0 (\varphi' \circ (\iota + x + z) \cdot (\mathbf{n} + x' + z') - \varphi' \circ (\iota + x) \cdot (\mathbf{n} + x')), \end{aligned}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A61.

Key words and phrases. Directional differentiability, P-norm, Fréchet space, almost M-tame map, Nash – Moser application, inverse/implicit function theorem, too strong premise.

and hence $v^{(k-1)} = \varepsilon_0(\varphi' \circ (\iota + x + z) \cdot z^{(k)} + T_z)$ where T_z denotes a sum of terms where only derivatives $z^{(i)}$ of z with $i < k$ occur. Consequently, there is $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $[p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1} \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow |T_z(s)| \leq M]$.

Now fixing m so that $(2\pi m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq k^{-1}$ and $l + M < (2\pi m)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\varphi'(t_0)|$, we have $p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1}$ and $p_l(u) \leq l^{-1}$, hence $\|v\|_2 \leq \|u\|_1 \leq \delta_1$, and consequently $p_{k-1}(v) \leq 1 < \varepsilon_0((2\pi m)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\varphi'(t_0)| - M) \leq \varepsilon_0|\varphi'(n s_0 + x(s_0)) \cdot z^{(k)}(s_0) + T_z(s_0)| = |v^{(k-1)}(s_0)| \leq p_{k-1}(v)$, a contradiction. $\square$

In [4; Section 5, Applications 1–5, pp. 25–26] it is claimed that certain previous results in [2] can be obtained anew by the approach in [4]. In four of the asserted applications it is needed to know that for a fixed tensor field ω on a manifold N the pullback map $\chi \mapsto \chi^*\omega$ by immersions $\chi : M \rightarrow N$ is “bounded-differentiable”. It is obvious that if this indeed is the case, then any local representation of the pullback map also is everywhere almost M-tame.

It follows from our Proposition 3 above that this generally fails in the case where $M = N = \mathbb{S}^1$ since our space E is both a model space for the manifold of immersions and linearly homeomorphic to the space of smooth 1-forms. Namely, in a local representation the pullback map for 1-forms has precisely the form $x \mapsto \varphi \circ (\iota + x) \cdot (\mathbf{n} + x')$. Similar results can be obtained for other pairs of manifolds M, N and types of tensors relevant to [4; pp. 25–26] by suitably adapting the idea in the proof of Proposition 3 above.

4 Example. Let E be the Fréchet space of smooth functions $x : [0, 1] = I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth diffeomorphism. We consider the diffeomorphism $\tilde{f}_{\text{Ex } 4} = (E, E, f)$ where f is defined by $x \mapsto \varphi \circ x$.

5 Proposition. *If $\tilde{f}_{\text{Ex } 4}$ is almost M-tame at x , then $\varphi''(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \text{rng } x$.*

Proof. Letting $\tilde{f}_{\text{Ex } 4}$ be almost M-tame at x , we assume that $\varphi''(t_0) \neq 0$ for some $t_0 \in \text{rng } x$, and show that a contradiction follows. Now, there is some $s_0 \in I$ with $x(s_0) = t_0$. There also are P-norms $u \mapsto \|u\|_1$ and $v \mapsto \|v\|_2$ for E such that for $\|z\|_1 \leq 1$ and for $u \in E$ we have $\|\delta f(x + z, u) - \delta f(x, u)\|_2 \leq \|u\|_1$.

The topology of E also being defined by the sequence of norms $\langle p_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle$ where $p_i(u) = \sup\{|u^{(l)}(s)| : s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } l \leq i\}$, there is an odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1} \Rightarrow \|z\|_1 \leq 1$. Then there is $\delta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|v\|_2 \leq \delta_1 \Rightarrow p_k(v) \leq 1$, and there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_l(u) \leq l^{-1} \Rightarrow \|u\|_1 \leq \delta_1$.

Taking $\varepsilon_0 = l^{-1}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ to be fixed below, we let $u = I \times \{\varepsilon_0\}$ and $z = \langle (2\pi m)^{-k + \frac{1}{2}} \sin(2\pi m(s - s_0)) : s \in I \rangle$. Putting $v = \delta f(x + z, u) - \delta f(x, u)$, the implication $p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1} \Rightarrow \|v\|_2 \leq \|u\|_1$ holds, and we have

$$v = \varphi' \circ (x + z) \cdot u - \varphi' \circ x \cdot u = \varepsilon_0(\varphi' \circ (x + z) - \varphi' \circ x),$$

and hence $v^{(k)} = \varepsilon_0(\varphi'' \circ (x + z) \cdot z^{(k)} + T_z)$ where T_z denotes a sum of terms where only derivatives $z^{(i)}$ of z with $i < k$ occur. Consequently, there is $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the implication $[p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1} \text{ and } s \in I \Rightarrow |T_z(s)| \leq M]$ holds.

Now fixing $m > (2\pi)^{-1} \cdot \max\{k^2, |\varphi''(t_0)|^{-2}(l + M)^2\}$, we have $p_{k-1}(z) \leq k^{-1}$ and $p_l(u) \leq l^{-1}$, hence $\|v\|_2 \leq \|u\|_1 \leq \delta_1$, and consequently $p_k(v) \leq 1 < \varepsilon_0((2\pi m)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\varphi''(t_0)| - M) \leq \varepsilon_0|\varphi''((x + z)(s_0)) \cdot z^{(k)}(s_0) + T_z(s_0)| = |v^{(k)}(s_0)| \leq p_k(v)$, a contradiction. $\square$

From Proposition 5 it follows that if $\tilde{f}_{\text{Ex } 4}$ satisfies the premise in [1; Theorem B, p. 3] at x , then $\varphi|_{\text{rng } x}$ is affine. Furthermore, if there is a set A of functions

x such that at every $x \in A$ the premise holds, and if in addition the set $\text{rng} \bigcup A = \{x(t) : x \in A \text{ and } t \in I\}$ is dense in the real line, then φ itself is affine.

Noting that the idea in the proof of Proposition 5 can be naturally adapted to show more generally that for a fixed smooth $\varphi : \Pi \sqcap \Pi_1 \supseteq \Omega \mid Q \rightarrow \Pi_2$ only locally affine maps $\tilde{f}_{\text{Re } 6} = (C^\infty(Q_\Pi, \Pi_1), C^\infty(Q_\Pi, \Pi_2), f)$ with f given by $x \mapsto \varphi \circ [\text{id}, x]$ can generically satisfy the premise in [1; Theorem B, p. 3], we thus see that problems involving this kind of maps $\tilde{f}_{\text{Re } 6}$ are practically ruled outside the domain of possible applications.

6 Remark. Our preceding observation, of course, does not preclude the possibility that the theorems in the carefully written exposition [1] may have nontrivial applications where maps like $\tilde{f}_{\text{Re } 6}$ do not play an essential role.

There also are some historical implicit and inverse function theorems beyond Banach spaces sharing this property of having premises too strong in order for these theorems to be able to have serious applications involving maps of the type $\tilde{f}_{\text{Re } 6}$ above. We shall soon publish one such result concerning Seip’s various inverse and implicit function theorems in [5].

References

- [1] H. GLÖCKNER: ‘Implicit functions from topological vector spaces to Fréchet spaces in the presence of metric estimates’ (v4) *preprint*, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.FA/0612673>.
- [2] R. S. HAMILTON: ‘The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser’ *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1982) no 1, 65–222.
- [3] S. HILTUNEN: ‘Differentiation, Implicit functions, and applications to generalized well-posedness’ *preprint*, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.FA/0504268>.
- [4] O. MÜLLER: ‘Bounded Fréchet geometry’ *preprint*, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/0612379>.
- [5] U. SEIP: *Kompakt erzeugte Vektorräume und Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math. 273, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1972.

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, U311
 P.O. Box 1100
 FIN-02015 HUT
 FINLAND
E-mail address: `shiltune@cc.hut.fi`